

Rail Protection Report 51-57 TANNERY STREET

Prepared For Montcrest Asset Management

By

Jablonsky, Ast and Partners Consulting Engineers 400-3 Concorde Gate Toronto, ON M3C 3N7

JAP Project No. 23096



Table of Contents

| Scope and Context | . 3 |
|----------------------|-----|
| Berm Design Criteria | . 3 |
| Conclusions | . 3 |
| Disclaimer | .4 |

APPENDIX 'A'

Site Plan and Sections Berm Cross Section Calculations

APPENDIX 'B'



Scope and Context

This report has been prepared to establish the structural implications of providing a rail protection for a proposed residential development from the detrimental consequences of an accidental derailment of trains beside the property.

The proposed development is located at 51-57 Tannery St in Mississauga and is located immediately west a CP rail corridor (Galt Subdivison Mile 17.35) which also carries Go Rail Service. The development consists of two residential towers at 51-57 Tannery St in Mississauga and will be setback 25m from the easternmost mutual property line. The site will require rail protection along its east property line which is proposed to be in the form of an earthen berm.

The site was previously submitted and approved in 2021 / 2021 (JAP #20219, AECOM #6015447). The project at that time was a townhouse development protected by an earthen berm for the north portion of the site and a crash wall for the south portion of the site. That development was also set back 25m.

Berm Design Criteria

We have reviewed the "Guidelines for New Development in Proximity to Railway Operations" prepared by the Federation of Canadian Municipalities and the Railway Association of Canada dated May 2013. Based on the type of rail line, the standard berm with a 30m setback should have a minimum height of 2.5m with side slopes not greater than 2.5 to 1. It also acknowledges that reduction in setback of up to 5m can be achieved with an increase in height of the berm. Based on this and response memo from Aecom dated December 1, 2020, the standard berm for a 25m setback would have a minimum height of 3m with side slopes not greater than 2.5 to 1. This equates to a cross sectional area of $22.5m^3/m$ width.

We have chosen to provide a berm with a steeper railside slope to maximize useability of the site area. This berm has a height greater than or equal to 3m high and has a cross section greater than or equal to $22.5m^3/m$. See Appendix A for site plan and berm cross sections and Appendix B for berm cross section calculations.

To act as a return at each end, the berm at the north and south ends extends beyond the face of the building and the minimum berm height of 3m has been provided up to a distance of 6m from the mutual property line.

Conclusions

This report has been prepared to examine the option of providing a crash barrier to protect the structure behind it from the effects of a train derailment. Based on the forces provided in the

| 3 | Rail Protection Report for 51-57 Tannery |
|---|--|
| | JAP Project No. 23090 |
| | January 5. 2024 |



AECOM guidelines, the berm provided will provide at least the same level of rail safety for nearby residents, workers, visitors, and shoppers as the required 30m setback and berm.

Disclaimer

This report was prepared for the exclusive use of Montcrest Asset Management by Jablonsky, Ast and Partners Consulting Engineers. The material in it reflects Jablonsky, Ast and Partners Consulting Engineers' best judgement in light of the information available to it at the time of preparation. In the preparation of this report, Jablonsky, Ast and Partners Consulting Engineers has relied in good faith on information provided by others.

Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on, or decisions taken, based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. Jablonsky, Ast and Partners Consulting Engineers accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken based on this report.

We trust the foregoing will be of use. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the office.

Yours very truly,

JABLONSKY AST & PARTNERS CONSULTING ENGINEERS

Craig Slama, P. Eng.



4

APPENDIX 'A' Site Plan and Sections



Rail Protection Report for 51-57 Tannery JAP Project No. 23096 January 05, 2024



APPENDIX 'B' Berm Cross Section Calculations



Rail Protection Report for 51-57 Tannery JAP Project No. 23096 January 05, 2024



Job Name: 51-57 Tannery 23096 Job #: 1 / SKS-1 Description:

STANDARD BERM PROPERTIES

| h _{30m} | = required berm height based on 30m setback | = | 2.50 | m |
|------------------|--|---|-------|---------|
| S | = berm slope | = | 2.50 | |
| SB | = proposed setback distance | = | 25 | m |
| h _{STD} | = standard berm height = h_{30m} - (30-SB) * 0.1 | = | 3.00 | m |
| W _{STD} | = standard berm width = $h_{STD} * s * 2$ | = | 15.00 | m |
| A _{STD} | = standard berm area = hstd * $w_{std} / 2$ | = | 22.50 | m^2/m |

| EL _R | = top of rail elevation | = | 157.85 | masl |
|------------------|---|---|--------|---------|
| EL _{PL} | = elevation at property line | = | 156.79 | masl |
| EL _T | = elevation at toe of slope | = | 156.05 | masl |
| EL _P | = elevation at peak of berm | = | 160.30 | masl |
| d_1 | = distance from property line to peak | = | 5,040 | mm |
| d ₂ | = distance from peak to slope toe | = | 9,670 | mm |
| | | | | |
| ELB | = base of berm elevation = MIN (EL_R , EL_{PL}) | = | 156.79 | mm |
| h | = height of berm = $EL_P - EL_B$ | = | 3,510 | mm |
| h_{PL} | = height of berm at $PL = EL_{PL} - EL_{B}$ | = | - | mm |
| d ₃ | = distance from peak to berm toe = d2 * $(EL_P - EL_B) / (EL_P - EL_T)$ | = | 7,986 | mm |
| А | $= d_1 * (h + h_{PL}) / 2 + d_3 * h / 2$ | = | 22.86 | m^2/m |
| $A > A_{REQ}$ | = area check | = | OK | |
| $h > h_{REQ}$ | = height check | = | OK | |



Job Name: 51-57 Tannery 23096 Job #: 2 / SKS-1 Description:

STANDARD BERM PROPERTIES

| h _{30m} | = required berm height based on 30m setback | = | 2.50 | m |
|------------------|--|---|-------|---------|
| S | = berm slope | = | 2.50 | |
| SB | = proposed setback distance | = | 25 | m |
| h _{STD} | = standard berm height = h_{30m} - (30-SB) * 0.1 | = | 3.00 | m |
| W _{STD} | = standard berm width = $h_{STD} * s * 2$ | = | 15.00 | m |
| A _{STD} | = standard berm area = $h_{STD} * w_{STD} / 2$ | = | 22.50 | m^2/m |

| EL _R | = top of rail elevation | = | 157.85 | masl |
|------------------|---|---|--------|---------|
| EL _{PL} | = elevation at property line | = | 156.88 | masl |
| EL _T | = elevation at toe of slope | = | 156.28 | masl |
| EL _P | = elevation at peak of berm | = | 160.48 | masl |
| d_1 | = distance from property line to peak | = | 5,020 | mm |
| d ₂ | = distance from peak to slope toe | = | 9,610 | mm |
| | | | | |
| EL _B | = base of berm elevation = MIN (EL_R , EL_{PL}) | = | 156.88 | mm |
| h | = height of berm = EL_P - EL_B | = | 3,600 | mm |
| h_{PL} | = height of berm at $PL = EL_{PL} - EL_B$ | = | - | mm |
| d ₃ | = distance from peak to berm toe = d2 * $(EL_P - EL_B) / (EL_P - EL_T)$ | = | 8,237 | mm |
| А | $= d_1 * (h + h_{PL}) / 2 + d_3 * h / 2$ | = | 23.86 | m^2/m |
| $A > A_{REQ}$ | = area check | = | OK | |
| $h > h_{REQ}$ | = height check | = | OK | |



Job Name: 51-57 Tannery 23096 Job #: 3 / SKS-1 Description:

STANDARD BERM PROPERTIES

| h _{30m} | = required berm height based on 30m setback | = | 2.50 | m |
|------------------|--|---|-------|---------|
| S | = berm slope | = | 2.50 | |
| SB | = proposed setback distance | = | 25 | m |
| h _{STD} | = standard berm height = h_{30m} - (30-SB) * 0.1 | = | 3.00 | m |
| W _{STD} | = standard berm width = h_{STD} * s * 2 | = | 15.00 | m |
| A _{STD} | = standard berm area = hstd * $w_{std} / 2$ | = | 22.50 | m^2/m |

| EL _R | = top of rail elevation | = | 158.57 | masl |
|------------------|---|---|--------|------|
| EL _{PL} | = elevation at property line | = | 156.99 | masl |
| EL _T | = elevation at toe of slope | = | 158.72 | masl |
| EL _P | = elevation at peak of berm | = | 160.56 | masl |
| d_1 | = distance from property line to peak | = | 5,000 | mm |
| d ₂ | = distance from peak to slope toe | = | 9,640 | mm |
| | | | | |
| ELB | = base of berm elevation = MIN (ELR, ELPL) | = | 156.99 | mm |
| h | = height of berm $=$ ELP - ELB | = | 3,565 | mm |
| h_{PL} | = height of berm at $PL = ELPL - ELB$ | = | - | mm |
| d ₃ | = distance from peak to berm toe = $d2 * (ELP - ELB) / (ELP - ELT)$ | = | 18,728 | mm |
| А | = d1 * (h + hPL) / 2 + d3 * h / 2 | = | 42.30 | m2/m |
| $A > A_{REQ}$ | = area check | = | OK | |
| $h > h_{REQ}$ | = height check | = | OK | |



Job Name: 51-57 Tannery 23096 Job #: 4 / SKS-1 Description:

STANDARD BERM PROPERTIES

| h _{30m} | = required berm height based on 30m setback | = | 2.50 | m |
|------------------|--|---|-------|---------|
| S | = berm slope | = | 2.50 | |
| SB | = proposed setback distance | = | 25 | m |
| h _{STD} | = standard berm height = h_{30m} - (30-SB) * 0.1 | = | 3.00 | m |
| W _{STD} | = standard berm width = $h_{STD} * s * 2$ | = | 15.00 | m |
| A _{STD} | = standard berm area = hstd * $w_{std} / 2$ | = | 22.50 | m^2/m |

| EL _R | = top of rail elevation | = | 158.99 | masl |
|------------------|---|---|--------|---------|
| EL _{PL} | = elevation at property line | = | 159.72 | masl |
| EL _T | = elevation at toe of slope | = | 159.16 | masl |
| EL _P | = elevation at peak of berm | = | 163.22 | masl |
| d_1 | = distance from property line to peak | = | 2,100 | mm |
| d ₂ | = distance from peak to slope toe | = | 9,830 | mm |
| | | | | |
| EL _B | = base of berm elevation = MIN (EL_R , EL_{PL}) | = | 158.99 | mm |
| h | = height of berm = $EL_P - EL_B$ | = | 4,227 | mm |
| h_{PL} | = height of berm at $PL = EL_{PL} - EL_B$ | = | 730 | mm |
| d ₃ | = distance from peak to berm toe = d2 * $(EL_P - EL_B) / (EL_P - EL_T)$ | = | 10,242 | mm |
| А | $= d_1 * (h + h_{PL}) / 2 + d_3 * h / 2$ | = | 26.85 | m^2/m |
| $A > A_{REQ}$ | = area check | = | OK | |
| $h > h_{REQ}$ | = height check | = | OK | |



 Job Name:
 51-57 Tannery

 Job #:
 23096

 Description:
 5 / SKS-1

STANDARD BERM PROPERTIES

| = required berm height based on 30m setback | = | 2.50 | m |
|--|--|---|--|
| = berm slope | = | 2.50 | |
| = proposed setback distance | = | 25 | m |
| = standard berm height = h_{30m} - (30-SB) * 0.1 | = | 3.00 | m |
| = standard berm width = $h_{STD} * s * 2$ | = | 15.00 | m |
| = standard berm area = hstd * $w_{std} / 2$ | = | 22.50 | m^2/m |
| | required berm height based on 30m setback berm slope proposed setback distance standard berm height = h_{30m} - (30-SB) * 0.1 standard berm width = h_{STD} * s * 2 standard berm area = hSTD * w_{STD} / 2 | = required berm height based on 30m setback== berm slope== proposed setback distance== standard berm height = $h_{30m} - (30-SB) * 0.1$ == standard berm width = $h_{STD} * s * 2$ == standard berm area = hSTD * $w_{STD} / 2$ = | = required berm height based on 30m setback= 2.50 = berm slope= 2.50 = proposed setback distance= 25 = standard berm height = $h_{30m} - (30-SB) * 0.1$ = 3.00 = standard berm width = $h_{STD} * s * 2$ = 15.00 = standard berm area = $hSTD * w_{STD} / 2$ = 22.50 |

| EL _R | = top of rail elevation | = | 159.29 | masl |
|------------------|---|---|--------|---------|
| EL _{PL} | = elevation at property line | = | 159.74 | masl |
| EL _T | = elevation at toe of slope | = | 159.35 | masl |
| EL _P | = elevation at peak of berm | = | 163.24 | masl |
| EL _{P2} | = elevation at secondary peak of berm | = | 162.03 | masl |
| d_1 | = distance from property line to peak | = | 2,100 | mm |
| d ₂ | = distance from peak to slope toe | = | 12,650 | mm |
| d_4 | = distance from peak to secondary peak | = | 3,900 | mm |
| | | | | |
| ELB | = base of berm elevation = MIN (EL_R , EL_{PL}) | = | 159.29 | mm |
| h | = height of berm = $EL_P - EL_B$ | = | 3,950 | mm |
| h ₂ | = height of berm at secondary peak = EL_{P2} - EL_B | = | 2,737 | mm |
| h_{PL} | = height of berm at $PL = EL_{PL} - EL_{B}$ | = | 450 | mm |
| d ₃ | = distance from peak to berm toe = d2 * $(EL_P - EL_B) / (EL_P - EL_T)$ | = | 12,845 | mm |
| А | $= d_1 * (h + h_{PL}) / 2 + d4 * (h + h_2) / 2 + (d_3 - d_4) * h_2 / 2$ | = | 29.90 | m^2/m |
| $A > A_{REQ}$ | = area check | = | OK | |
| $h > h_{REQ}$ | = height check | = | OK | |